

BOX PATENT APPLICATION
Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

NEW APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL

Transmitted herewith for filing is the patent application of:

Inventors: Jean Bernard LELEU, Patrick HAON, Pierrick DUFLOT, and Philippe LOOTEN

For: MALTITOL CRYSTALS OF PARTICULAR FORMS, CRYSTALLINE
COMPOSITIONS CONTAINING THEM AND PROCESSES FOR THEIR
PREPARATION

1. **TYPE OF APPLICATION**

This new application is for a(n):

- ☒ Original
☐ Design

☐ Divisional
☐ Continuation
☐ Continuation-in-part (CIP)

of Serial No. 0 / _____, filed _____, by _____,
entitled _____

and is incorporated herein by reference.

2. **BENEFIT OF PRIOR U.S. APPLICATION(S) (35 USC 120)**

- ☐ The new application being transmitted claims the benefit of prior U.S.
application(s). Amend the specification by inserting before the first line the
sentence: This is a ☐ continuation, ☐ continuation-in-part, ☐ divisional of co-
pending application Serial No. 0 / _____, filed on _____, entitled _____

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.10

I hereby certify that this New Application Transmittal and the documents referred to as enclosed herein are being
deposited with the United States Postal Service on this date _____ in an envelope as "Express Mail Post Office to
Addressee", Mailing Label Number _____ addressed to: Box Patent Application, Assistant Commissioner for
Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Dated: _____

Kerilyn Conrad

3. **PAPERS ENCLOSED WHICH ARE REQUIRED FOR FILING DATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.53(b)
(REGULAR) OR 37 CFR 1.153 (DESIGN) APPLICATION**

20 Pages of specification, including:

2 Pages of claims (1-10 Claims)

1 Page of Abstract

4 Sheets of photographs (Figs. 1, 2, 3 and 4) being submitted in lieu of drawings

☒ formal
☐ informal

4. **ADDITIONAL PAPERS ENCLOSED**

- ☐ Preliminary Amendment
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement
- ☐ Form PTO-1449
- ☐ Citations
- ☐ Declaration of Biological Deposit
- ☐ Authorization of Attorney(s) to Accept and Follow Instructions from Representative
- ☐ Special Comments
- ☐ Verified Statement(s)
- ☒ Other (Petition to Accept Photographs as Drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 (b))

5. **DECLARATION OR OATH**

☒ Enclosed - (check all applicable boxes)

☐ executed by

☒ unexecuted by

☒ inventor

☐ legal representative of inventor 37 CFR 1.42 or 1.43

☐ joint inventor or person showing a proprietary interest on behalf of inventor who refused to sign or cannot be reached.

☐ This is the petition required by 37 CFR 1.47 and the statement required by 37 CFR is also attached.

See item 13 below for fee.

☐ Not Enclosed.

☐ Application is made by a person authorized under 37 CFR 1.41(c) on behalf of *all the above named inventors*. (The declaration or oath, along with the surcharge required by 37 CFR 1.16(e) can be filed subsequently).

☐ Showing that the filing is authorized. (Not required unless called into questions 37 CFR 1.41d).

6. **INVENTORSHIP STATEMENT**

The inventorship for all the claims in this application are:

☐ The same.

7. **LANGUAGE**

☒ English

8. **ASSIGNMENT**

☒ An assignment of the invention to: **ROQUETTE FRERES**

☐ is attached

☒ will follow.

9. **CERTIFIED COPY**

Certified copy(ies) of application(s)

Country France Application No. FR 97 12035 Filed: 26 September 1997
from which priority is claimed

☒ is(are) attached
☐ will follow

10. **FEE CALCULATION (37 CFR 1.16)**

A. ☒ Regular application

CLAIMS AS FILED

Number filed			Number Extra		Rate	Basic Fee \$790.00
Total Claims	10	- 20 =	0	x	\$22	\$0.00
Independent Claims	1	- 3 =	0	x	\$82	\$0.00
Multiple dependent claim(s), if any (37 CFR 1.16(d))			None		\$270	\$0.00

- ☐ Amendment canceling extra claims enclosed.
☐ Amendment deleting multiple dependencies enclosed.
☐ Fee for extra claims is not being paid at this time.

FILING FEE CALCULATION: \$790.00

B. ☐ Design Application
(320.00-37 CFR 1.16(f))

Filing fee Calculation \$ _____

11. **SMALL ENTITY STATEMENT(S)**

☐ Verified Statement(s) that this is a filing by a small entity under 37 CFR 1.9 and 1.27 is(are) attached.

Filing Fee Calculation (50% of A or B above) \$ _____

12. **REQUEST FOR INTERNATIONAL-TYPE SEARCH (37 CFR 1.104(d))**

☐ Please prepare an international-type search report for this application at the time when national examination on the merits takes place.

13. **FEE PAYMENT BEING MADE AT THIS TIME**

☒ Not Enclosed

☒ No filing fee is to be paid at this time. *(This and the surcharge required by 37 CFR can be paid subsequently.)*

☐ Enclosed

☐ basic filing fee..... \$

TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED

\$

14. **AUTHORIZATION/METHOD OF PAYMENT OF FEES**

☐ Check in the amount of \$_____.

☐ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the amount of \$_____ to Deposit Account No. 08-1650. A duplicate of this transmittal is attached.

15. **AUTHORIZATION TO CHARGE ADDITIONAL FEES**

☐ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the following additional fees by this paper and during the entire pendency of this application to Account No. 08-1650.


☐ 37 CFR 1.16(a), (f) or (g) (filing fees)
☐ 37 CFR 1.16(b), (c) and (d) (presentation of extra claims)

16. **INSTRUCTIONS AS TO OVERPAYMENT**

☐ Credit Account No. 08-1650.
☐ Refund

Dated: _____

September 25, 1988



Martin G. Mullen
Reg. No. 28,574

HENDERSON & STURM
206 Sixth Avenue, Suite 1213
Des Moines, Iowa 50309-4076

Telephone No. (202) 296-3854
Telefax: (202) 223-9606

☒ **Statement Where No Further Pages Added**
☒ This transmittal ends with this page.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Jean Bernard LELEU, Patrick HAON, Pierrick DUFLOT, and Philippe LOOTEN

Serial No.: Not yet assigned -
(Claiming Priority of French Appln.
No. FR 97 12035, filed September 26, 1997)

Filed: (on even date herewith)

For: **MALTITOL CRYSTALS OF PARTICULAR FORMS, CRYSTALLINE
COMPOSITIONS CONTAINING THEM AND PROCESSES FOR THEIR
PREPARATION**

PETITION TO ACCEPT PHOTOGRAPHS AS DRAWINGS
UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.84 (b)

Office of the Deputy Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

Petition is hereby made to accept photographs in this case.

A Petition is hereby made for acceptance of the photographs submitted as drawing
(Figs. 1, 2, 3 and 4).

Three sets of duplicate originals of these photographs are submitted herewith.

In support of this Petition, it is respectfully submitted that the photographs should be acceptable because they fall within the special categories identified by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office in that they are black and white photographs/photo-micrographs printed on sensitized paper to illustrate inventions of a structure which are incapable of being accurately or adequately depicted by India ink drawings, and show the invention more clearly than they can be done by India ink drawings.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge \$130.00 as the fee set forth under 37 C.F.R. 1.17 (h), or any fees that may be required in connection with this matter, or to credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 08-1650.

Duplicate copies of this transmittal letter are attached for accounting purposes.

Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that this Petition be granted.

If the Office has any questions, or wishes to discuss this matter, please call the attorney of record identified in this application as the one to whom all communications are to be directed, or the undersigned at the telephone number indicated below.

Respectfully submitted,

Jean Bernard LELEU, Patrick HAON,
Pierrick DUFLOT, and Philippe LOOTEN

Date September 25, 1998 By M. G. Mullen
Martin G. Mullen
Reg. No. 28,574

HENDERSON & STURM
206 Sixth Avenue, Suite 1213
Des Moines, Iowa 50309-4076

Telephone: (202) 296-3854
Telefax: (202) 223-9606

MALTITOL CRYSTALS OF PARTICULAR FORMS, CRYSTALLINE
COMPOSITIONS CONTAINING THEM AND PROCESSES FOR THEIR
PREPARATION

5 The present invention concerns maltitol crystals of particular forms and crystalline compositions containing them. It also relates to a particular process for obtaining these crystals and compositions.

 For a very long time, maltitol was presented only
10 in the form of low content syrups.

 Then, maltitol was marketed in the form of amorphous and impure powders.

 To the knowledge of the Applicant company, it was only about 1980 that prominence was given to maltitol
15 crystals. Previously, this polyol was not known as forming crystals easily.

 The only crystalline form known up till now for maltitol is the anhydrous form, which is subject to wide-ranging patent protection on behalf of the HAYASHIBARA
20 company (US 4.408.041).

 The so-called "massé" techniques on the one hand and those of water crystallisation on the other, are today almost the only processes in industrial use. The products thus obtained are of very variable crystallinity and are
25 not all particularly well suited to certain applications such as chewing-gum or chocolate.

 On the other hand, there are other applications where these products are not totally satisfactory. This is the case for example when it is required to use maltitol
30 to replace saccharose and lactose in pharmaceutical dry forms such as capsules, medicines of the soluble powder type, tablets and food preparations in powdered form to be

0916013109393

dissolved. This is also the case when it is required to effect the same kind of substitution in sweetened foods such as powdered drinks, desserts, cake preparations or chocolate-flavoured or vanilla-flavoured breakfast powders.

It is noted for these particular applications, particularly for pseudo-crystalline maltitol powders obtained by the "massé" technique and to a lesser degree for crystalline maltitol powders obtained by crystallisation in water, that these have one or more defects in particular for example those of not flowing easily, of being liable to cake or to knot together, of dissolving only very slowly in water, of being bad vehicles for compression or of not meeting the criteria for identification and purity set by different pharmacopoeias.

In its wish to improve on the prior art, the Applicant company has sought to perfect maltitol compositions which do not have the flow, caking, dissolving or compression defects presented by known maltitol powders. Admittedly, it might have been thought possible to meet the identified need with other polyols. But, this is demonstrably not so since none of them has characteristics of solubility, hygroscopicity, sweetened flavour and fusion as close to saccharose as maltitol.

And it is while working to perfect these compositions that the Applicant company was able to isolate, in a surprising and unexpected way, two particular forms of maltitol crystals, one bipyramidal and the other prismatic.

It is to the credit of the Applicant company that it has succeeded, after conducting extensive research, in

explaining the existence of these two forms of maltitol crystals. It has indeed demonstrated that, against all expectation, the form of the maltitol crystals was a function of the maltotriitol content of a maltitol syrup intended for crystallisation. The Applicant company has noted that by controlling the maltotriitol content of a maltitol syrup, it was possible to direct the form of the maltitol crystals towards one or other of the forms or towards a mix of the two forms, when this maltitol syrup is subjected to a crystallisation stage.

As a result, according to a first aspect, the invention relates to modified maltitol crystals, characterised in that they are bipyramidal in form including two regular tetrahedrons juxtaposed by their square section base with sides of about 50 to 500 μm , thus constituting regular octahedrons with edge length of about 50 to 500 μm .

According to a second aspect, the invention also concerns modified maltitol crystals, characterised in that they are prismatic in form ending with plane faces constituting a tetrahedron, and in that they are 100 to 400 μm long and about 20 to 100 μm wide.

The forms of crystallisation (bipyramidal or prismatic) inevitably have significant repercussions both for manufacture and for applications. Thus, a semi-crystallised maltitol mass, including a certain percentage of prismatic crystals is more viscous than a mass including the same percentage of bipyramidal crystals, all things being equal in other respects, and this particularly when the crystals are of significant size.

Therefore to prepare atomised maltitol, it is preferable to accept suspensions which are very low in

maltotriitol and additionally including bipyramidal rather than prismatic crystals so as to avoid caking. In other respects, the use of bipyramidal maltitol crystals proves advantageous in the production of chocolate (more thickened mass before refining), of chewing-gums (possibility of retaining a flexible texture with a large amount of powdered maltitol), of pharmaceutical dry forms (greater consistency of flow) etc.

On the other hand, a prismatic form is more compressible and enables low crystal content caking, as is sometimes required (chewing-gums, chewing-gum centres to be sugar coated).

Other features and advantages of the invention will become fully apparent on reading the following description, made by reference to the appended drawings, in which :

- figures 1 and 2 show photographs by scanning electron microscope of crystals of bipyramidal form in compliance with the invention ;
- figure 3 shows a less enlarged photograph by scanning electron microscope of crystals identical to those in figures 1 and 2 ;
- figure 4 shows a photograph by scanning electron microscope of a crystal of prismatic form in compliance with the invention.

The invention therefore concerns first of all the bipyramidal and prismatic crystals illustrated in figures 1 to 4.

The observations were carried out with the help of a JEOL 5410 scanning electron microscope, after gold-

plating the crystals with a JEOL JFC 1100 E metal sprayer (coating thickness 100 Angstroms).

The crystals were observed under a voltage of 2 and 5 kV. The photographs were taken on the microscope with an enlargement of 350 times (figure 1), 500 times (figure 2), 50 times (figure 3) and 200 times (figure 4), then blown up during printing. However, there is a scale inscribed on the photograph to show the actual size of the crystals.

The crystals in figures 1 and 2 are of massive, bipyramidal form. More exactly, they have the form of two regular tetrahedrons, juxtaposed by their square section base, with sides from 50 to 500 μm approximately, thus constituting regular octahedrons with edge length of 50 to 500 μm approximately.

Figure 3 shows that crystals in compliance with the invention are not caked together or arranged in small aggregated clusters but are on the contrary fully dissociated and individualised in relation to each other.

The crystal in figure 4 looks like a rod with a pointed end. More exactly, it is prismatic in form and longer than it is wide (approximately 5 times longer than it is wide), ending in plane faces constituting a tetrahedron. This rod is approximately 100 to 400 μm long by 20 to 100 μm wide.

The invention further concerns a crystalline maltitol composition, characterised in that it is constituted :

- either by bipyramidal crystals complying with the invention ;
- or by prismatic crystals complying with the invention ;

- or by both bipyramidal and prismatic crystals.

The first essential characteristic of maltitol compositions in accordance with the invention lies in the fact they are crystallised, which gives them very high stability in relation to humidity. As a result they have only a slight tendency to cake or to knot together. So they are easy to use and it is not imperative to take draconian precautions to guard against this danger.

These crystalline maltitol compositions all have a maltitol content greater than or equal to 87%, preferably greater than or equal to 92%, and more preferentially greater than or equal to 96%, and advantageously have a reduced content of maltosyl-1.6 maltitol.

What essentially differentiates them from each other is the maltotriitol content.

Thus, when the crystalline maltitol composition is constituted by maltitol crystals of bipyramidal form, it has a maltotriitol content, by weight of dry matter, of less than 1%.

When the crystalline maltitol composition is constituted by maltitol crystals of prismatic form, it has a maltotriitol content, by weight of dry matter, greater than or equal to 4%.

And when the crystalline maltitol composition is constituted by maltitol crystals of both bipyramidal and prismatic form, it has a maltotriitol content, by weight of dry matter, of between 1 and 4%.

The concept of content must be understood, in the case of the present invention, as corresponding to the percentage of maltitol expressed as dry/dry weight in relation to the total carbohydrates present in the crystalline maltitol composition. The carbohydrates may be

polyols such as in particular sorbitol, maltotriitol and maltotetraitol.

The crystalline maltitol compositions complying with the invention may contain, without their presence significantly altering the crystallinity of these compositions, certain substances such as for example strong sweeteners, colouring agents, pigments, scents, flavourings, vitamins, minerals, trace elements, active pharmaceutical or veterinary ingredients, esters of fatty acids, organic or inorganic acids and their salts, proteinic matter such as proteins, amino acids and enzymes.

The crystalline maltitol compositions are likely to be obtained by crystallisation of a maltitol syrup with maltitol content greater than or equal to 87%, preferably greater than or equal to 92%, and more preferentially greater than or equal to 96%, and a maltotriitol content which, according to the composition which it is wished to obtain, is less than 1%, between 1 and 4%, or more than 4% by weight of dry matter.

One of the essential characteristics of the invention is therefore to vary the maltotriitol contents of the maltitol syrups to be crystallised while advantageously retaining a reduced content of maltosyl-1,6 maltitol.

It is for this reason that the invention further concerns a process to direct the form of the maltitol crystals, characterised in that it consists in controlling the maltotriitol content of the maltitol syrup to be crystallised. This control of the maltotriitol content of the maltitol syrup to be crystallised may be carried out upstream and/or downstream of the crystallisation stage.

Upstream of the crystallisation stage :

during manufacture of the maltose syrup by using enzymes which hydrolyse the maltotriose, and/or :

- by carrying out a molecular sieving of the maltose syrup intended for hydrogenation then crystallisation, and/or :
- by carrying out a molecular sieving of the maltitol syrup intended for crystallisation and/or :
- by carrying out an enzymatic hydrolysis of the maltitol syrup intended for crystallisation.

Downstream of the crystallisation stage :

- by redissolving the crystalline maltitol composition in water and by carrying out a molecular sieving on the syrup thus obtained and/or an enzymatic hydrolysis and/or
- by redissolving the crystalline maltitol composition in water and adding to it the amounts of maltotriitol required to obtain, after recrystallisation, a new crystalline maltitol composition complying with the invention with the required maltotriitol content.

All these possibilities for controlling the maltotriitol content may be used singly or in combination with each other.

From what precedes, it therefore follows that the process for directing the form of the maltitol crystals, in compliance with the invention, offers great flexibility in use. Indeed it enables switching equally well from

bipyramidal form crystals to prismatic form crystals and vice versa.

To prepare the maltitol syrup which enables, after crystallisation, the compositions complying with the invention to be obtained, the process described below or an equivalent process is used.

The first step in the process is known per se. It consists in liquefying a starch slurry which may be of any botanical origin : it may derive from corn, maize or potato for example.

This starch or flour slurry has acid added in the case of a so-called acid liquefaction, or an α -amylase added in the case of enzymatic liquefaction.

In the process complying with the invention, it is preferred to carry out a controlled hydrolysis of the starch slurry so as to obtain a liquefied starch slurry with a low transformation rate. In this way, conditions of temperature, of pH, of enzyme and calcium content, known to the professional, are determined in such a way that they enable a DE(Dextrose Equivalent) to be obtained of less than 10, preferably less than 6, and more particularly less than 4.

Preferably, the liquefaction stage is conducted in two sub-stages, the first consisting in heating the starch slurry, for a few minutes and at a temperature of between 105 and 108°C, in the presence of an α -amylase (TERMAMYL^R 120L type marketed by the NOVO company) and a calcium based activator, the second consisting in heating the starch slurry thus treated to a temperature of between 95 and 100°C for one to two hours.

Once the liquefaction stage is complete, in the conditions of dry matter content, of pH, of enzyme and calcium content that are well known to the professional, the next step is the inhibition of the α -amylase. This inhibition of the α -amylase may preferably be carried out thermally by initiating at the end of the liquefaction process a thermal shock lasting a few seconds at a temperature exceeding or equal to 130°C.

Following this the saccharification stage is carried out. During this stage, the liquefied starch slurry is first subjected to the action of a maltogenic α -amylase, such as that marketed by the NOVO company, under the name Maltogénase®. During this first saccharification stage, the maltogenic α -amylase can be added in a single dose or in several doses.

At this stage of the process, it is already possible to control the maltotriose content (which after hydrogenation leads to maltotriitol) formed during the hydrolysis of the starch, by adjusting the amount of maltogenic α -amylase as a function of the maltotriose content and therefore of the form of the maltitol crystals that it is wished to obtain.

The next step, after allowing the maltogenic α -amylase to react, is the saccharification of the liquefied starch slurry by means of a β -amylase such as that marketed by the GENENCOR company under the name SPEZYME^R BBA 1500.

During these stages, it is appropriate to combine with the maltogenic action enzymes (maltogenic α -amylase and β -amylase) an enzyme which specifically hydrolyses the

α-1,6 starch bonds. This addition of a disconnecting enzyme enables on the one hand hydrolysis reactions to be accelerated without simultaneously accelerating reversion reactions and, on the other hand, the amount of strongly
5 connected oligosaccharides normally resistant to the action of maltogenic enzymes to be reduced.

This disconnecting enzyme can be added at the same time as the maltogenic α-amylase is added or at the same time as the β-amylase is added.

10 This disconnecting enzyme is selected from the group constituted by the pullulanases and the isoamylases.

The pullulanase is for example that marketed by the ABM company under the name PULLUZYME^R.

15 The isoamylase is for example that marketed by the HAYASHIBARA company.

The process is implemented to advantage in the presence of isoamylase for which the Applicant company has noted that it not only enabled a maltose syrup with a higher maltose content to be obtained than by using a
20 pullulanase, but it also enabled a maltose syrup with a reduced content of maltosyl-1,6 maltose and therefore of maltosyl-1,6 maltitol after hydrogenation to be obtained.

The saccharification stage can also be conducted totally or partially in the presence of fungal α-amylase.

25 At the end of saccharification, it is possible to add a little α-amylase, which generally improves the subsequent filtration stages. The amounts and conditions of action of the different enzymes used in the liquefaction and saccharification stages of the starch
30 slurry are generally those which are recommended for the

hydrolysis of starch and are well known to the person skilled in the art.

Saccharification is carried out until the maltose hydrolysate contains at least 87%, preferably at least 92%, and more preferentially at least 96% by weight of maltose.

The hydrolysate thus saccharified is then filtered through a pre-coated filter or by micro-filtration through membranes, then de-mineralised.

At this stage in the process, it may be possible to carry out on the saccharified and purified hydrolysate, a stage of maltose crystallisation or molecular sieving, this molecular sieving stage enabling the maltotriose content of the maltose syrup to be controlled, i. e. to more or less deplete, or not at all, the maltose syrup of maltotriose. This molecular sieving stage may thus also enable the recovery of :

- either a first fraction enriched in maltose and higher oligosaccharides and a second fraction enriched in glucose ;
- or a first fraction enriched in higher oligosaccharides and a second fraction enriched in maltose and glucose ;
- or, lastly, a first fraction enriched in higher oligosaccharides, a second fraction enriched in maltose and a third fraction enriched in glucose.

This molecular sieving stage may consist, for example, of a chromatographic separation stage or a separation by membrane stage.

The chromatographic fractionation stage is conducted in a way known per se, discontinuously or continuously (simulated fluid bed), on adsorbents of the cationic resin type, or on highly acid zeolites, preferentially charged with the help of alkaline or alkaline-earth ions such as calcium or magnesium but more preferentially with the help of sodium ions.

Instead and in place of the chromatographic separation stage, it is possible to implement a separation stage by nano-filtration by membranes. Membranes of various pore diameters are manufactured from a number of polymers and copolymers of the polysulphone, polyamide, polyacrylonitrile, polycarbonate, polyphurane types, etc.

Examples of the use of such membranes are described particularly in the documents US-A-4,511,654, US-A-4,429,122 and WO-A-95/10627.

The maltose hydrolysate thus obtained may then be easily hydrogenated catalytically.

The hydrogenation of such a hydrolysate is carried out in compliance with the rules of the art which lead for example to the production of sorbitol from glucose.

For this stage ruthenium based catalysts can be used as well as RANEY nickel catalysts. The use of RANEY nickel catalysts is however preferred since they are less expensive.

In practice, from 1 to 10% by weight of catalyst compared with the dry matter of the hydrolysate subjected to hydrogenation is used. Hydrogenation is carried out preferably on a hydrolysate the dry matter of which is between 15 and 50%, in practice in the area of 30 to 45%, at between 20 and 200 bars of hydrogen pressure. It can be carried out continuously or discontinuously.

When the operation is discontinuous, the hydrogen pressure used is generally between 30 and 60 bars and the temperature at which hydrogenation occurs is between 100 and 150°C. Care is also taken to maintain the pH of the hydrogenation medium by the addition of sodium hydroxide or sodium carbonate for example, but without exceeding a pH of 9.0. This way of proceeding enables the appearance of cracking or isomerisation products to be avoided.

The reaction is stopped when the reducing sugars content of the reaction medium has dropped below 1%, preferably even below 0.5% and more particularly below 0.1%.

After the reaction medium has cooled, the catalyst is eliminated by filtration and the maltitol syrup thus obtained is demineralised on cationic and anionic resins. At this stage, the syrups contain at least 85% maltitol.

According to a first version of the process, a series of steps is applied to the maltitol syrup obtained in the preceding hydrogenation stage as follows :

- possibly carrying out a chromatographic fractionation, known per se, so as to obtain a maltitol rich fraction and a more or less rich maltotriitol fraction as a function of the form of crystals required ;
- concentrating the maltitol rich fraction ;
- crystallising and separating the formed maltitol crystals ;
- recycling the crystallisation mother-liquors upstream of the chromatographic fractionation stage.

According to a second version of the process, a series of steps is applied to the maltitol syrup obtained in the preceding hydrogenation stage as follows :

- concentrating the maltitol syrup ;
- 5 - crystallising and separating the formed maltitol crystals.

According to a third version of the process, a series of steps is applied to the maltitol syrup obtained in the preceding hydrogenation stage as follows :

- 10 - possibly carrying out an enzymatic hydrolysis of the maltitol syrup, by means for example of an amyloglucosidase whether immobilised or not so as to convert any possibly present maltotriitol into maltitol;
- 15 - concentrating the maltitol syrup thus obtained ;
- crystallising and separating the formed maltitol crystals.

According to another version of the process complying with the invention, a series of steps is applied to the maltose hydrolysate obtained after saccharification as follows :

- 20 - possibly carrying out a chromatographic fractionation, known per se, so as to obtain a maltose rich and more or less maltotriose rich
- 25 fraction ;
- hydrogenating the maltose rich fraction ;
- crystallising and separating the formed maltitol crystals.

The invention will now be described with the help of the following example provided solely by way of illustration and non-restrictively.

EXAMPLE1. Test conditions

5 The syrup to be crystallised is concentrated to 80% dry matter, placed in a laboratory crystallising dish and stabilised at a temperature of 50°C, then an initial nucleus of MALTISORB^R (crystallised maltitol marketed by the Applicant company) at the rate of 1%/dry matter, is
 10 added and the crystallising dish is cooled under slow stirring to 20°C, at the rate of 0.3°C per hour. After spinning and clearing with ethanol, the crystals are dried and observed under a scanning electron microscope.

15 2. Results

Different bases are used ; their composition and the form of the crystals obtained are summarised in the following table.

<u>COMPOSITION</u>	<u>CRYSTAL APPEARANCE</u>
DP2H > 99%	homogeneous, bipyramidal in form
DP2H : 93.5% DP3H : 3.8% Sup. : 2.7% DP1H : 5.2%	heterogeneous, bipyramidal and prismatic in form
DP2H : 90.1% DP3H : 0.9% DP4H : 3.8%	homogeneous, bipyramidal in form
DP2H : 96% DP3H : 4%	homogeneous, prismatic in form

DP2H = maltitol

5 DP4H = maltotetraitol

Sup. = maltotetraitol and superior homologues

CLAIMS

1. Modified maltitol crystals, characterised in that they are bipyramidal in form including two regular tetrahedrons juxtaposed by their square section base with sides of 50 to 500 μm approximately, thus constituting regular octahedrons with edge length of approximately 50 to 500 μm .

2. A crystalline maltitol composition, characterised in that it includes essentially maltitol crystals according to claim 1 and that it has a maltitol content greater than or equal to 87%, preferably greater than or equal to 92%, and more preferentially greater than or equal to 96% and a maltotriitol content by weight of dry matter, lower than 1%.

3. A manufacturing process of a composition in accordance with claim 2, characterised in that it consists in crystallising a maltitol syrup having a maltitol content greater than or equal to 87%, preferably greater than or equal to 92%, and more preferentially greater than or equal to 96% and a maltotriitol content lower than 1% by weight of dry matter.

4. Modified maltitol crystals, characterised in that they are prismatic in form, ending in plane faces constituting a tetrahedron, and that they are 100 to 400 μm long and about 20 to 100 μm wide.

5. A crystalline maltitol composition, characterised in that it includes essentially maltitol crystals according to claim 4 and that it has a maltitol content greater than or equal to 87%, preferably greater than or equal to 92%, and more preferentially greater than

or equal to 96% and a maltotriitol content greater than or equal to 4%.

6. A manufacturing process of a composition in accordance with claim 5, characterised in that it consists
5 in crystallising a maltitol syrup having a maltitol content greater than or equal to 87%, preferably greater than or equal to 92%, and more preferentially greater than or equal to 96% and a maltotriitol content greater than or equal to 4% by weight of dry matter.

10 7. A crystalline maltitol composition, characterised in that it includes maltitol crystals according to claims 1 and 4 and that it has a maltitol content greater than or equal to 87%, preferably greater than or equal to 92%, and more preferentially greater than
15 or equal to 96% and a maltotriitol content, by weight of dry matter, of between 1 and 4%.

8. A manufacturing process of a composition in accordance with claim 7, characterised in that it consists in crystallising a maltitol syrup having a maltitol
20 content greater than or equal to 87%, preferably greater than or equal to 92%, and more preferentially greater than or equal to 96% and a maltotriitol content, by weight of dry matter, of between 1 and 4%.

9. A process for directing the form of the
25 maltitol crystals, characterised in that it consists in controlling the maltotriitol content of the maltitol syrup to be crystallised.

10. The use of maltotriitol to modify or control the form of maltitol crystals.

ABSTRACT

The invention concerns modified maltitol crystals
5 of particular forms, one pyramidal, the other prismatic.
It further concerns crystalline compositions containing
them and processes for their preparation.




Fig.1

09450433.092598




Fig.2

09460433 092042




Fig.3

09160139 . 092598




Fig.4

09160133.092598

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled MALTI-TITOL CRYSTALS OF PARTICULAR FORMS, CRYSTALLINE COMPOSITIONS CONTAINING THEM AND PROCESSES FOR THEIR PREPARATION, the specification of which

(check) ☐ is attached hereto.

☐ was filed on _____ as Application Serial No. 09/
and was amended on _____ (if applicable).

☐ was filed as PCT international application Number _____ on _____
and was amended under PCT Article 19 on _____ (if applicable).

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate or of any PCT international application (s) designating at least one country other than the United States of America listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate or of any PCT international application (s) designating at least one country other than the United States of America filed by me on the same subject matter having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is now claimed:

Prior Foreign Application(s)/PCT Applications (if PCT, indicate PCT)

Priority Claimed

(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
<u>97 12035</u>	<u>France</u>	<u>26 September 1997</u>	<u>X</u>	

(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
----------	-----------	------------------------	-----	----

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States application(s) or PCT international application(s) designating the United States of America that is/are listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in that/those prior application(s) in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Prior U.S./PCT Applications (if PCT, indicate PCT)

(Application Serial No.)	Country	(Filing Date)	(Status--patented, pending, abandoned)
--------------------------	---------	---------------	--

I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agents(s) to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith: H. Robert Henderson, Reg. No. 18,486; Michael O. Sturm, Reg. No. 26,078; John E. Cepican, Reg. No. 26,851; Richard L. Fix, Reg. No. 28,297; William H. Wright, Reg. No. 26,424; Martin G. Mullen, Reg. No. 28,574; and Curtis A. Bell, Reg. No. 36,742.

Address all telephone calls to Martin G. Mullen telephone no. 202/296-3854
Address all correspondence to: HENDERSON & STURM telefax no. 202/223-9606
206 Sixth Avenue
Suite 1213
Des Moines, Iowa 50309-4076

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full name of sole or first inventor Jean Bernard LELEU

Inventor's signature _____

Date _____

Residence 62136 Lestrem France

Citizenship French

Post Office Address Place due 8 mai 1945

62136 Lestrem France

Full name of second inventor Patrick HAON

Inventor's signature _____

Date _____

Residence 67500 Haguenau France

Citizenship French

Post Office Address 24 Boulevard Hanauer

67500 Haguenau France

Full name of third inventor **Pierrick DUFLOT**
Inventor's signature _____
Date _____
Residence **62136 Lacouture France**
Citizenship **French**
Post Office Address **773, rue de la neuve voie**
62136 Lacouture France

Full name of fourth inventor **Philippe LOOTEN**
Inventor's signature _____
Date _____
Residence **59130 Lambersart France**
Citizenship **French**
Post Office Address **66, Allée de l'Artois Parc de la Carnoy**
59130 Lambersart France

20250101 14:00:00